

Schary, Claire

From: Psyk, Christine
Sent: Tuesday, November 04, 2014 10:54 AM
To: Schary, Claire
Subject: FW: EPA comments on Nov 12 2013 Draft Discussion Guide - Baseline Section

From: Schary, Claire
Sent: Monday, November 25, 2013 4:23 PM
To: Psyk, Christine
Subject: FW: EPA comments on Nov 12 2013 Draft Discussion Guide - Baseline Section

Christine, FYI. At this point, I won't ask Kelly or Cara to weigh in until we decide what we want to do after the Dec. meeting.

-- Claire

Claire Schary
schary.claire@epa.gov / (206) 553-8514

From: Rose, Bob
Sent: Monday, November 25, 2013 8:36 AM
To: Schary, Claire
Subject: RE: EPA comments on Nov 12 2013 Draft Discussion Guide - Baseline Section

I spoke to Jim Curtin. He sees no legal reason R10 cannot express policy about WQ trading. OW might want to review, that is true, and Nancy Stoner may want to understand why because there are legal suits against trading and some have called for revising the 2003 policy. But beyond that, it would of course be a R10 activity.

Jim also mentioned that the permit review is such opportunity, in essence. Also, would R10 want any such memo to be challenged. Just he thoughts, not reasons against.

What does Kelly say?

Robert J. Rose
US EPA, Office of Water
Policy Office
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Mail Code 4101M
Washington, DC 20460
Email: rose.bob@epa.gov
Ph: 202-564-0322
FAX: 202-564-0500